

**IN THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA**

CASE NUMBER: **CCT 97/2024**

In the matter between:

**REYNO DAWID DE BEER**

Applicant

and

**ELECTORAL COMMISSION OF SOUTH AFRICA**

1<sup>st</sup> Respondent

**UMKHONTO WESIZWE POLITICAL PARTY**

2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent

**JACOB GEDLEYIHLEKISA ZUMA**

3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent

[Rule 12 Application]

*In Re:*

**REYNO DAWID DE BEER**

Applicant Intervening Party/*Amicus Curiae*

[Rule 8/10 Application]

*In Re:*

**ELECTORAL COMMISSION OF SOUTH AFRICA**

Applicant

and

**UMKHONTO WESIZWE POLITICAL PARTY** 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent

**JACOB GEDLEYIHLEKISA ZUMA** 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent

**MAROBA MATSAPOLA** 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent

**BETHUEL TERRENCE NKOSI** 4<sup>th</sup> Respondent

and

**COUNCIL FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF THE SOUTH** 1<sup>st</sup> *Amicus Curiae*

**AFRICAN CONSTITUTION**

**CORRUPTION WATCH (RF) NPC** 2<sup>nd</sup> *Amicus Curiae*

**AHMED KATHRADA FOUNDATION** 3<sup>rd</sup> *Amicus Curiae*

[main application]

**INDEX: RULE 12 APPLICATION**

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
1	Notice of Motion in terms of Rule 12 (13 May 2024)	1 – 9
2	Affidavit in Support of Application in terms of Rule 12: Reyno Dawid De Beer (6 May 2024)	10 – 22
3	Annexure “RES1”: Email to media (7 May 2024)	23
4	Annexure “RES2”: Read Receipt (Block) - Daily Sun (7 May 2024)	24 – 25
5	Annexure “RES3”: Read Receipt (Block) - inl (7 May 2024)	26
6	Annexure “RES4”: Read Receipt - JacarandaFM (8 May 2024)	27
7	Annexure “RES5”: Read Receipt (Block) - LigwalagwalaFM (7 May 2024)	28 – 30
8	Annexure “RES6”: Read Receipt - PretoriaFM (7 May 2024)	31
9	Annexure “RES7”: Confirmation Email - eTV (8 May 2024)	32 – 34
10	Annexure “RES8”: Read Receipt - GrootFM (8 May 2024)	35
11	Annexure “RES9”: Read Receipt - SABC (8 May 2024)	36
12	Annexure “RES10”: Not Read Receipt - News24 (13 May 2024)	37
13	Annexure “RES11”: Letter to Zondo CJ (26 April 2024)	38


REYNO DAWID DE BEER

APPLICANT







Address within 25km from Court for service:



Landline: +27 (0) 12 023 1976

Mobile: 

Email(1): [reyno@libertyfighters.co.za](mailto:reyno@libertyfighters.co.za)

Email(2): 

[Accept service by way of electronic mail]

TO: REGISTRAR OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT  
JOHANNESBURG

AND TO: MOETI KANYANE INCORPORATED  
Attorneys for the First Respondent  
Second Floor  
Building B  
Westend Office Park  
250 Hall Street  
Centurion  
PRETORIA  
Landline: 012 003 6471  
Fax: 086 416 2255

Email(1): [moeti@kanyane.co.za](mailto:moeti@kanyane.co.za)

Email(2): [keletso@kanyane.co.za](mailto:keletso@kanyane.co.za)

Reference: M Kanyane/BV/M00534

Correspondent: RAMS ATTORNEYS

Third Floor

70 Grayston Drive

Sandton

JOHANNESBURG

AND TO: ZUNGU INCORPORATED ATTORNEYS  
Attorneys for the Second and Third Respondents  
136 Sandton Drive  
Parkmore  
JOHANNESBURG

Landline: 010 065 1797

Mobile: 

Email(1): [nqobile@zunquincorporated.co.za](mailto:nqobile@zunquincorporated.co.za)

Email(2): [support@zunquincorporated.co.za](mailto:support@zunquincorporated.co.za)

Email(3): 

Email(4): 

AND TO: MAROBA MATSAPOLA  
Third Respondent (main application)

Email(1): 

AND TO: BETHUEL TERRENCE NKOSI  
Fourth Respondent (main application)

Email: [REDACTED]

AND TO: WEBBER WENTZEL  
Attorneys for the First *Amicus Curiae* (main application)

90 Rivonia Road

Sandton

JOHANNESBURG

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Email(1): [Odette.geldenhuis@webberwentzel.com](mailto:Odette.geldenhuis@webberwentzel.com)

Email(2): [Nkosinathi.thema@webberwentzel.com](mailto:Nkosinathi.thema@webberwentzel.com)

Email(3): [jos.venter@webberwentzel.com](mailto:jos.venter@webberwentzel.com)

Reference: Geldenhuis/ N Thema/ J Venter/ T Kathrada 4008394

AND TO: NORTON ROSE FULLBRIGHT SOUTH AFRICA INCORPORATED  
Attorneys for the Second *Amicus Curiae* (main application)

Ninth Floor

117 Strand Street

CAPE TOWN

Landline: 021 405 1200

Fax: 021 418 6900

Email(1): [jason.whyte@nortonrosefulbright.com](mailto:jason.whyte@nortonrosefulbright.com)

Email(2): [lauramaefarlane@nortonrosefulbright.com](mailto:lauramaefarlane@nortonrosefulbright.com)

Email(3): [caroline.cotton@nortonrosefulbright.com](mailto:caroline.cotton@nortonrosefulbright.com)

Reference: J Whyte/L Macfarlane/PBO2891

Correspondent: NORTON ROSE FULLBRIGHT SOUTH AFRICA  
INCORPORATED  
15 Alice Lane  
Sandton  
JOHANNESBURG

AND TO: ADAMS AND ADAMS

Attorneys for the Third *Amicus Curiae* (main application)

Lynwood Bridge

4 Daventry Street

Lynwood Manor

PRETORIA

Landline: 012 432 6000

Fax: 012 432 6599

Email(1): [Jac.Marais@Adams.africa](mailto:Jac.Marais@Adams.africa)

Email(2): [Thandiwe.seboletswe@adams.africa](mailto:Thandiwe.seboletswe@adams.africa)

Email(3): [Teana.Mans@adams.africa](mailto:Teana.Mans@adams.africa)

Reference: JSM/TWS

Correspondent: ADAMS AND ADAMS  
34 Fredman Drive  
Sandhurst  
Sandton  
JOHANNESBURG  
Landline: 011 895 1000

**IN THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA**

CASE NUMBER: **CCT 97/2024**

In the matter between:

**REYNO DAWID DE BEER**

Applicant

and

**ELECTORAL COMMISSION OF SOUTH AFRICA**

1<sup>st</sup> Respondent

**UMKHONTO WESIZWE POLITICAL PARTY**

2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent

**JACOB GEDLEYIHLEKISA ZUMA**

3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent

[Rule 12 Application]

*In Re:*

**REYNO DAWID DE BEER**

Applicant Intervening Party/*Amicus Curiae*

[Rule 8/10 Application]

*In Re:*

**ELECTORAL COMMISSION OF SOUTH AFRICA**

Applicant

and

<b>UMKHONTO WESIZWE POLITICAL PARTY</b>	1 <sup>st</sup> Respondent
<b>JACOB GEDLEYIHLEKISA ZUMA</b>	2 <sup>nd</sup> Respondent
<b>MAROBA MATSAPOLA</b>	3 <sup>rd</sup> Respondent
<b>BETHUEL TERRENCE NKOSI</b>	4 <sup>th</sup> Respondent
and	
<b>COUNCIL FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN CONSTITUTION</b>	1 <sup>st</sup> <i>Amicus Curiae</i>
<b>CORRUPTION WATCH (RF) NPC</b>	2 <sup>nd</sup> <i>Amicus Curiae</i>
<b>AHMED KATHRADA FOUNDATION</b>	3 <sup>rd</sup> <i>Amicus Curiae</i>

[main application]

<b>NOTICE OF MOTION IN TERMS OF RULE 12</b>
---

***KINDLY TAKE NOTICE THAT*** the above-mentioned Applicant, **REYNO DAVID DE BEER**, hereby applies for an order in the following terms:

- 1 That the non-compliance with the *Rules of the Constitutional Court* ("**Rules**") regarding forms, service and time periods be condoned and that this application be heard as urgent in terms of Rule 12 of the **Rules**;
- 2 Direct access be granted in terms of Section 167(6)(a) of the *Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996* ("**Constitution**"), in so far it may be required;

- 3 That the alleged order of this court dated 7 May 2024 by Bilchitz AJ, Gamble AJ, Madlanga J, Mathopo J, Majiedt J, Mhlantla J, Theron J and Tshiqi J in the matter of REYNO DAWID DE BEER as applicant to be admitted as either an interested party or *amicus curiae*, be declared as null and void *ab initio*;
- 4 Alternative to Item 3 *supra*, that the order of this court dated 7 May 2024 by Bilchitz AJ, Gamble AJ, Madlanga J, Mathopo J, Majiedt J, Mhlantla J, Theron J and Tshiqi J in the matter of REYNO DAWID DE BEER as applicant to be admitted as either an interested party or *amicus curiae*, be set aside;
- 5 That the Applicant, **REYNO DAWID DE BEER** (hereafter referred to as “**De Beer**”), is admitted as either an Intervening Party or as an *amicus curiae* in these proceedings in terms of either Rule 8 or Rule 10 of the **Rules**, whichever rule applies;
- 6 That the **De Beer** supporting affidavits with annexures used in both this application and his original application dated 6 May 2024, be considered *mutatis mutandis* as the response to all affidavits filed during course of these proceedings and if any specific matter raised in any affidavit contradicts the contents stated in the **De Beer** supporting affidavit, it should then be recorded as being denied, and the party raising the issue should bear the burden of proof;
- 4 That **De Beer** is granted the right, if so required, to deliver written submissions and appear at a specially convened hearing, before judgment is rendered in the main application, to present argument;
- 5 In the event the court finds that the order as referenced in Items 3 and 4 *supra* was ostensibly irregularly obtained, that the court refers its record to the National Director of Public Prosecutions and/or any other appropriate body for investigation and report

back to this court and determination for further directions in respect thereof as the court deems fit;

6 Further and/or alternative relief.

**TAKE NOTICE FURTHER** that the Applicant, **REYNO DAWID DE BEER**, will use his Affidavit, together with annexures, in support of this application.

**TAKE NOTICE FURTHER** that the Applicant has *inter alia* provided the addresses hereunder as the addresses he is going to use for service of any process or document during course of this application, in particularly agrees to accept service by way of electronic mail.

**TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that, in terms of Rule 12 of the **Rules**, in urgent applications, the Chief Justice may dispense with the forms and service provided for in the rules and may give directions for the matter to be dealt with at such time and in such manner and in accordance with such procedure, which shall as far as is practicable be in accordance with the rules, as may be appropriate.

**TAKE NOTICE FURTHER** that, in absence of any Rule 12 directions provided, in terms of Rule 18(3) of the **Rules**, any person or party wishing to oppose the application shall, within 10 days after the lodging of such application, notify the applicant and the Registrar in writing of his or her intention to oppose.

**TAKE NOTICE FURTHER** that, in terms of Rule 18(4) of the **Rules**, after such notice of intention to oppose has been received by the Registrar or where the time for the lodging of

such notice has expired, the matter shall be disposed of in accordance with directions given by the Chief Justice, which may include—

- (a) a direction calling upon the respondents to make written submissions to the Court within a specified time as to whether or not direct access should be granted; or
- (b) a direction indicating that no written submissions or affidavits need be filed.

***TAKE NOTICE FURTHER*** that, in terms of Rule 18(5) of the ***Rules***, applications for direct access may be dealt with summarily, without hearing oral or written argument other than that contained in the application itself: Provided that where any one or more Respondents have indicated his/her/its intention to oppose in terms of Rule 18(3) of the ***Rules***, an application for direct access shall be granted only after the provisions of Rule 18(4)(a) of the ***Rules*** have been complied with.

***TAKE NOTICE FURTHER*** that if no such notice of intention to oppose is given, the Applicant will request the Registrar to place the matter before the Chief Justice to be dealt with in terms of Rule 18(4) of the ***Rules***.


***KINDLY ENROLL THIS MATTER ACCORDINGLY***

SIGNED at **PRETORIA** on this **13<sup>TH</sup>** day of **MAY 2024**



**REYNO DAWID DE BEER**

APPLICANT




Pretoria, 0182


Address within 25km from Court for service:



Landline: +27 (0) 12 023 1976

Mobile: 

Email(1): [reyno@libertyfighters.co.za](mailto:reyno@libertyfighters.co.za)

Email(2): 

[Accept service by way of electronic mail]

TO: REGISTRAR OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT  
JOHANNESBURG

AND TO: MOETI KANYANE INCORPORATED  
Attorneys for the First Respondent  
Second Floor  
Building B  
Westend Office Park  
250 Hall Street  
Centurion  
PRETORIA  
Landline: 012 003 6471

Fax: 086 416 2255

Email(1): [moeti@kanyane.co.za](mailto:moeti@kanyane.co.za)

Email(2): [keletso@kanyane.co.za](mailto:keletso@kanyane.co.za)

Reference: M Kanyane/BV/M00534

Correspondent: RAMS ATTORNEYS

Third Floor

70 Grayston Drive

Sandton

JOHANNESBURG

AND TO: ZUNGU INCORPORATED ATTORNEYS  
Attorneys for the Second and Third Respondents  
136 Sandton Drive  
Parkmore  
JOHANNESBURG  
Landline: 010 065 1797  
Mobile: [REDACTED]  
Email(1): [nqobile@zunquincorporated.co.za](mailto:nqobile@zunquincorporated.co.za)  
Email(2): [support@zunquincorporated.co.za](mailto:support@zunquincorporated.co.za)  
Email(3): [REDACTED]  
Email(4): [REDACTED]

AND TO: MAROBA MATSAPOLA  
Third Respondent (main application)

Email(1): [REDACTED]

AND TO: BETHUEL TERRENCE NKOSI  
Fourth Respondent (main application)

Email: [REDACTED]

AND TO: WEBBER WENTZEL  
Attorneys for the First *Amicus Curiae* (main application)

90 Rivonia Road

Sandton

JOHANNESBURG

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Email(1): [Odette.geldenhuis@webberwentzel.com](mailto:Odette.geldenhuis@webberwentzel.com)

Email(2): [Nkosinathi.thema@webberwentzel.com](mailto:Nkosinathi.thema@webberwentzel.com)

Email(3): [jos.venter@webberwentzel.com](mailto:jos.venter@webberwentzel.com)

Reference: Geldenhuis/ N Thema/ J Venter/ T Kathrada 4008394

AND TO: NORTON ROSE FULLBRIGHT SOUTH AFRICA INCORPORATED  
Attorneys for the Second *Amicus Curiae* (main application)

Ninth Floor

117 Strand Street

CAPE TOWN

Landline: 021 405 1200

Fax: 021 418 6900

Email(1): [jason.whyte@nortonrosefulbright.com](mailto:jason.whyte@nortonrosefulbright.com)

Email(2): [lauramaefarlane@nortonrosefulbright.com](mailto:lauramaefarlane@nortonrosefulbright.com)

Email(3): [caroline.cotton@nortonrosefulbright.com](mailto:caroline.cotton@nortonrosefulbright.com)

Reference: J Whyte/L Macfarlane/PBO2891

Correspondent: NORTON ROSE FULLBRIGHT SOUTH AFRICA  
INCORPORATED  
15 Alice Lane  
Sandton  
JOHANNESBURG

AND TO: ADAMS AND ADAMS

Attorneys for the Third *Amicus Curiae* (main application)

Lynwood Bridge

4 Daventry Street

Lynwood Manor

PRETORIA

Landline: 012 432 6000

Fax: 012 432 6599

Email(1): [Jac.Marais@Adams.africa](mailto:Jac.Marais@Adams.africa)

Email(2): [Thandiwe.seboletswe@adams.africa](mailto:Thandiwe.seboletswe@adams.africa)

Email(3): [Teana.Mans@adams.africa](mailto:Teana.Mans@adams.africa)

Reference: JSM/TWS

Correspondent: ADAMS AND ADAMS  
34 Fredman Drive  
Sandhurst  
Sandton  
JOHANNESBURG  
Landline: 011 895 1000

**IN THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA**

**CASE NUMBER: CCT 97/2024**

In the matter between:

**REYNO DAWID DE BEER**

Applicant

and

**ELECTORAL COMMISSION OF SOUTH AFRICA**

1<sup>st</sup> Respondent

**UMKHONTO WESIZWE POLITICAL PARTY**

2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent

**JACOB GEDLEYIHLEKISA ZUMA**

3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent

[Rule 12 Application]

*In Re:*

**REYNO DAWID DE BEER**

Applicant Intervening Party/*Amicus Curiae*

[Rule 8/10 Application]

*In Re:*

**ELECTORAL COMMISSION OF SOUTH AFRICA**

Applicant

and

**UMKHONTO WESIZWE POLITICAL PARTY**

1<sup>st</sup> Respondent

<b>JACOB GEDLEYIHLEKISA ZUMA</b>	2 <sup>nd</sup> Respondent
<b>MAROBA MATSAPOLA</b>	3 <sup>rd</sup> Respondent
<b>BETHUEL TERRENCE NKOSI</b>	4 <sup>th</sup> Respondent
and	
<b>COUNCIL FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN CONSTITUTION</b>	1 <sup>st</sup> <i>Amicus Curiae</i>
<b>CORRUPTION WATCH (RF) NPC</b>	2 <sup>nd</sup> <i>Amicus Curiae</i>
<b>AHMED KATHRADA FOUNDATION</b>	3 <sup>rd</sup> <i>Amicus Curiae</i>
[main application]	

<b>AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION IN TERMS OF RULE 12</b>
--

**Contents**

INTRODUCTION .....	12
URGENCY .....	14
CLEAR AND SUCCINT SUBMISSIONS WHICH WILL BE ADVANCED .....	18
REASONS FOR BELIEVING THAT THE SUBMISSIONS WILL ASSIST THE COURT .....	20
CONCLUSION .....	21

I, the undersigned,

**REYNO DAWID DE BEER**

Declare under oath as follows:

**INTRODUCTION**

1. I am a major male, South African citizen, the Applicant and also a member and the President of **LIBERTY FIGHTERS NETWORK ("LFN")**, of address and contacting details as per the accompanied notice of motion.
2. The facts contained in this affidavit are, to the best of my knowledge and belief, both true and correct.
3. Wherever I attach any annexure, I respectfully ask that the court considers its contents as if it were sworn to under oath along with this affidavit. Additionally, because certain attachments contain private or confidential information and this affidavit is a public document, the relevant sections have been redacted. The uncensored portions can be provided to either the Chief Justice or the court upon request.
4. Additional written submissions can be presented to the court relating to the content in the event it is directed by the Chief Justice.
5. On 6 May 2024, I submitted an application pursuant to Rule 8 or 10 of the Constitutional Court Rules ("Rules"), hereafter referred to as the "Rule 8/10 Application", which was ostensibly judged on 7 May 2024 by eight judges, Bilchitz AJ, Gamble AJ, Madlanga J, Mathopo J, Majiedt J, Mhlantla J, Theron J and Tshiqi J, dismissing the "*application for*




*admission as amicus curiae*". On the contrary, this application urgently requests this court to either declare the order of 7 May 2024 as invalid *ab initio* or, as an alternative, to set it aside in accordance with Rule 29, in conjunction with Rule 42 of the Uniform Rules of Court, and/or the doctrine of legality, along with ancillary relief.

6. I respectfully urge this court to consider the complete supporting affidavit along with its annexures regarding the Rule 8/10 Application as if expressly incorporated herein under oath. To streamline proceedings, I will only reference specific parts thereof or annexures contained therein without reattaching them to this affidavit.
7. The citation and background of the parties are as detailed in the Rule 8/10 Application.
8. As envisaged in the Rule 8/10 Application, this application stems from the fact that LFN had been representing former President, Mr. Jacob Gedleyihlekisa Zuma ("Mr. Zuma"), the Second Respondent in the main application, Third Respondent in this very application, as his agent and duly authorised representative in respect of a complaint lodged for him on 19 January 2022 against the state of the Republic of South Africa ("RSA") with the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights ("ACHPR"), an executive organ of the African Union ("AU").
9. I acknowledge once again that this application and the contents of this affidavit are inherently unusual, similar to the previous one. However, since there is no precedent for a situation like this in the history of our country or internationally, to the best of my knowledge, both this court and ourselves are navigating uncharted legal territory. I sincerely apologise to this court for the unique nature of this situation, which was never intended to disrespect or mislead the court but solely to assist in arriving at a correct judgment in the interest of justice.



10. Again I emphasise that the statements made in this affidavit are not meant to alter any of those made by Mr. Zuma before this court or the Electoral Court. Instead, they are intended to supplement his assertions. Therefore, the contents of this affidavit should be respectfully read and interpreted in conjunction with the opposition already submitted by Mr. Zuma.
11. I again bring this application in my own capacity, for those reasons to be explained *infra* and in the Rule 8/10 Application but do so with a thorough understanding of LFN's affairs, particularly the ACHPR complaint initiated over two years ago on 19 January 2022. For the record, Mr. Zuma decided to separate his local legal battles from this international one, where his current legal team before this court had no involvement in the ACHPR case, nor any prior knowledge thereof until Friday, 3 May 2024. As I am not a registered legal practitioner with automatic right of appearance in this court, and given the ongoing legal question regarding whether I should obtain permission from the Chief Justice to represent LFN as its officer, which is currently under consideration in another matter before this court, I chose not to complicate this urgent issue with technicalities and instead opted to lodge this application personally like the previous one.

## URGENCY

12. The main application was lodged as one of urgent in terms of Rule 12 and eventually heard as such on Friday, 10 May 2024. This court is currently busy to ponder the main application of which judgment is expected any time soon.
13. The Rule 8/10 Application undoubtedly presented crucial information necessary for this court to reach a fair and just decision. Such application had a dual purpose: firstly, it requested my intervention as an interested party under Rule 8, and alternatively, it sought
- 

my involvement as an amicus curiae under Rule 10, should the court determine that Rule 8 is not applicable.

14. On 7 May 2024, literally hours after lodgement, without any opposition or request for further directions, eight judges of this court seemingly and unanimously dismissed my "*application for admission as amicus curiae*". Surprisingly, the judgment made no reference to the part concerning intervention as an interested party under Rule 8. The order appeared to encompass both Rule 8 and Rule 10 considerations without specifically addressing Rule 8, which created confusion. It was anticipated that subsequent directions would clarify the handling of the Rule 8 aspect, but no such direction was provided. Consequently, the hearing proceeded last Friday without my presence, as I was not informed by the Registrar about my expected attendance or about the scheduled hearing.
15. In paragraph 35 of my supporting affidavit in the Rule 8/10 Application, I specifically noted that "*[g]iven that I will be appearing in the Magistrates Court, Bloemfontein on Thursday, 9 May 2024, and Friday, 10 May 2024 for my ongoing trial related to the SCA contempt of court matter, where the presiding magistrate has ordered the DPP's office to assist me in summoning, among others, Deputy Chief Justice Maya, Ponnann JA, Unterhalter J, and Trengove SC to testify in court then, regrettably I would not be available for any set deadlines or potential hearings on those dates*". Therefore, my attendance on Friday was not feasible, and this court was well aware of this circumstance.
16. On Friday morning, 10 May 2024, around 08h39, while I was at the Bloemfontein Magistrates Court for my own contempt of court trial, I received a call from Mr. Zungu, the attorney for the 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent in the main matter. He informed me that the matter would be heard that day and asked whether I had received confirmation from the Registrar regarding my admission status. I expressed my confusion as I had not received any further



direction regarding my Rule 8 intervention request. Interestingly, Mr. Zungu mentioned that late Thursday afternoon, the sole *amicus curiae* opposing the IEC's position, Hola Bon Renaissance Foundation, represented by one of its officers, Mr. Preddy Mothopeng, was allegedly admitted.

17. This morning, Monday, 13 May 2024, during my inquiry, Mr. Mothopeng informed me that their application for admission as *amicus curiae* was never finalised. They also experienced similar issues with this court, attending on Friday without being called upon to argue their case against the IEC's position or those of the other *amici curiae*.
18. The unanimous decision of eight judges of this court not to admit me as an *amicus curiae*, while disregarding my preferred request for admission as an interested party, raises significant concerns. It implies either a lack of impartiality and bias among these judges, especially considering the disclosures supporting the positions of Mr. Zuma and the MKP, or it suggests a potential lack of competency among the judges to differentiate between a Rule 8 and Rule 10 application, which I respectfully doubt. Alternatively, there is the possibility that the purported order was not genuinely considered by them, indicating potential irregularities.
19. This court has readily admitted three *amici curiae* advancing arguments against Mr. Zuma and the MKP, while none of those seeking to present arguments in favour of Mr. Zuma and the MKP were considered. This raises concerns about the court's independence and impartiality.
20. The proceedings on Friday become even more perplexing when considering that the existence of the ACHPR was never mentioned and its legal implications on the main application were completely disregarded. Not even by Mr. Zuma's current legal team, apparently because they were never instructed to be involved in the ACHPR matter.



21. If things weren't already strange enough, on Tuesday, 7 May 2024, I sent a press release to numerous media outlets across South Africa to inform the public about the ongoing ACHPR proceedings and my intervention application. However, to my surprise, not a single media house reported on these proceedings as if they don't exist at all. Only Newsroom Afrika conducted a Zoom interview with me on Thursday afternoon at 13h30, but even they did not broadcast the recording. Some read receipts clearly indicated that the emails I sent were "blocked". Attached are a few of these receipts, labelled as Annexures "**RES1**" to "**RES10**", showing either receipt or rejection.
22. It is deeply concerning and poses a threat to our democracy that the entire media, possibly through the South African National Editors' Forum ("SANEF"), has the ability to coordinate to the extent of controlling what information the public should or should not be aware of in the news, in direct contrast for what it actually stands for. This amounts to nothing less than an attack on our country by the media and raises urgent concerns about national security.
23. The gravity of my revelations lies in the fact that not only has the media consistently collaborated to portray Mr. Zuma and his MKP negatively, but also, with the national elections just days away, and this court side-lining my intervention along with its revelations, coupled with a complete media blackout, there is a serious risk that free and fair elections may not be possible at all.
24. Lastly, but most importantly, as this court and the Chief Justice are well aware, the ACHPR is also addressing the summary judgments issued by this court via the *Van Heerden* complaint awaiting decision by its Commissioners soon. Such a process amounts to a direct intrusion into our democracy and a violation of both our *Constitution* and the *African Charter*. As the argument goes, while both the High Court and SCA are constitutionally empowered to consider matters before them and to summarily deal with those matters



based on only written submissions, the Constitutional Court is compelled to actually hold hearings on matters before it and may not merely "consider" them. However, this court has established a practice of dealing with matters without hearings, as apparently occurred with my Rule 8/10 Application. Therefore, the court's ongoing unconstitutional practice justifies a proper hearing of this application to rectify this situation and uphold the principles of justice and constitutional rights.

25. Further, I respectfully argue that the urgency of this matter is directly correlated with the urgency of the main application.
26. Given that the Rule 8/10 Application was directly tied to the main application, I will comply with the court's decision regarding the urgency and commit to following any directions given to me in this regard or concerning the entire application.
27. The urgency of considering this application cannot be overstated. With the main application already under consideration by the court, it is imperative to address this matter urgently to prevent a grave miscarriage of justice. The outcome of the main application is of national interest, as it directly impacts the upcoming elections.
28. Aligned with the urgent filing of the main application, I respectfully request this court to also condone, as necessary, any procedural aspects that would typically be condoned in urgent matters, all in the interest of justice.

#### **CLEAR AND SUCCINT SUBMISSIONS WHICH WILL BE ADVANCED**

29. Rule 8 reads as follows:-




- "(1) Any person entitled to join as a party or liable to be joined as a party in the proceedings may, on notice to all parties, at any stage of the proceedings apply for leave to intervene as a party.
- (2) The Court or the Chief Justice may upon such application make such order, including any order as to costs, and give such directions as to further procedure in the proceedings as may be necessary."

30. My interests in the main application are significant and evident. Despite my disclosures, this court seemingly chose not to consider them. As the Chief Justice is aware, I had hoped for transparency from the court and anticipated a discussion of these matters during Friday's hearing, which unfortunately did not happen. However, I previously submitted the actual decision under a highly confidential letter to this court *via* its Registrar on 26 April 2024. This is indicated clearly by the cover page bearing the court's stamp, as shown in Annexure "RES11".
31. On the other hand, Rule 10 extensively addresses the admission as an *amicus curiae*. I have not only fully adhered to the necessary requirements regarding such an application, but the interests of justice strongly favour granting it. Therefore, the prospects of success were reasonably anticipated, especially if intervention as an interested party were to fail.
32. The evident and intentional dismissal of my Rule 8/10 Application, whether done wilfully by the judges or through fraudulent means, is apparent. This situation underscores that the independence and impartiality of this court cannot be relied upon anymore and may have been compromised by external influences. It is imperative that this be brought to public attention as extensively as possible. However, given the media's reluctance to cover these revelations, our nation's security is directly threatened.




33. If the suspected order of 7 May 2024 turns out to have been obtained in a fraudulent way and never considered by the eight judges, this court is empowered to declare it as invalid *ab initio*. In such event, this court is then humbly requested to refer the record to the National Director of Public Prosecutions and/or any other appropriate body for investigation and report back to this court and determination for further directions in respect thereof as the court deems fit.
34. The ACHPR decision, regardless of its specifics, undeniably has a significant impact on the matter before this court. Unfortunately, the Chief Justice is undoubtedly aware of this and was obligated to inform all the sitting judges about such a crucial matter and expected to have done so.
35. If this court would continue to ignore my revelations and find in favour of setting aside the Electoral Court's decision, the impact of that finding would surely have extreme repercussions on the reliability of the outcome of the Elections. Such a travesty must be prevented at all costs and this court is obliged to uphold our *Constitution* and respect international law.

#### **REASONS FOR BELIEVING THAT THE SUBMISSIONS WILL ASSIST THE COURT**

36. My conscience and beliefs compel me to protect the interests of Mr. Zuma and the MKP, especially when, for reasons unknown, his legal team did not present any arguments regarding my revelations after they became public in my Rule 8/10 Application. This is exacerbated by the concealment of these revelations by both this court and the media. Ultimately, it is the People who would suffer if they are kept in the dark about these critical matters.
- 

37. I reiterate, should this court proceed to simply render judgment within the next few days ignoring this very application, particularly in a manner that sets aside the Electoral Court's decision, it is impossible at this juncture for the court to ascertain whether it would indeed uphold the Electoral Court's decision, thus knowing the outcome in advance. Such actions would undoubtedly have an adverse impact on RSA's case before the ACHPR.
38. Conversely, the court's independence would undoubtedly come under public scrutiny if it were to rule in favour of Mr. Zuma after the public is formally apprised of the ACHPR's pre-existing findings to date, irrespective what it entails. This scenario, for example, might give the impression that the court is merely aligning its decision to appease the ACHPR.

## CONCLUSION

39. I firmly believe that the Rule 8/10 Application should have been successful, allowing me to present written submissions and arguments in court. It seems reasonable that such an application was never thoroughly deliberated and was dismissed under highly questionable circumstances.
40. It seems that another *amicus curiae* applicant, Hola Bon Renaissance Foundation, faced a similar fate and would have presented arguments in support of Mr. Zuma and the MKP as well. The court's decision to favour *amici curiae* known for challenging and criticising Mr. Zuma and the MKP cannot be endorsed by our democratic society.
41. During the Friday hearing, the substance of the revelations was not addressed by the court and has been disregarded. Therefore, this court does not possess all pertinent facts to make a justified ruling and should permit me to formally raise these matters before it. In pursuit of this, I respectfully request that this application be granted, and I be admitted
- 

either as an interested party, as preferred, or as an *amicus curiae*, whichever is applicable, in the interest of justice and the People.

**WHEREFORE** the Applicant respectfully requests relief as set out in the Notice of Motion.

**REYNO DAWID DE BEER**

Deponent

Signed and sworn to me in AKASIA SAPS on this 13 day of **MAY 2024** the deponent having acknowledged that he knows and understands the contents of this affidavit and that he has no objection against taking the prescribed oath, which oath he regards as binding on his conscience and that all other prescribed formalities have been complied with.

72489345

COMMISSIONER OF OATHS

Address: 01 PIET REUTANBACH STREET

Designation: POLICE OFFICER

SUID-AFRIKAANSE POLISIEDIENS
KLIENTEDIENSSENTRUM PRIVAATSAK, PRIVATE BAG X10
<b>2024 -05- 13</b>
ROSSLYN 0200, AKASIA CLIENT SERVICE CENTRE
SOUTH AFRIGAN POLICE SERVICE

**Subject:** MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...

**From:** Reyno De Beer <reyno@libertyfighters.co.za>

**Date:** 2024/05/07, 20:53

**To:** undisclosed-recipients: ;

# **MEDIA RELEASE – FOR IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION**

## **REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE AND CORRUPTION ALLEGATIONS BY RAMAPHOSA CABINET, SILENCED BY CONSTITUTIONAL COURT**

[Important: The Public versions of the mentioned Intervention Application and court order are circulated with this media release and attached]

--

**PS: Kindly note the Important/Disclaimer Notice underneath our signature for your information.**

Kind Regards,

***Reyno De Beer***

President: Liberty Fighters Network

Landline: +27 (0) 12 023 1976

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Telegram News Channel: [@libertyfightersnews](#)

Facebook: [libertyfightersnetwork](#)

Twitter: [@LFN\\_SouthAfrica](#)

YouTube: [Reyno De Beer, Liberty Fighter #1](#)

Website: [www.libertyfighters.co.za](http://www.libertyfighters.co.za)

Email: [reyno@libertyfighters.co.za](mailto:reyno@libertyfighters.co.za)

## If you like our work, kindly consider making a **voluntary donation** via PayPal or Mobipaid

**IMPORTANT & DISCLAIMER:** Liberty Fighters Network is **not a law clinic** and **none of its officials are registered legal practitioners** in terms of the South African *Legal Practice Act, 2014 (Act No. 28 of 2014)*. By local national legislation we may not expect remuneration for any service only a registered legal practitioner may expect remuneration for. LFN only relies on voluntary donations for funding. However, this restriction to request remuneration does not apply to our services in relation to international forums for which we are entitled to enter into a services agreement. Privileged/Confidential information may be contained in this message. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to such person) you may not copy or deliver this message to anyone. In such case, you should destroy this message and kindly notify the sender by reply E-Mail. Please advise immediately if you or your employer do not consent to e-mail messages of this kind. Opinions, conclusions and other information in this message that do not relate to the official business of Liberty Fighters Network shall be understood as neither given nor endorsed by it. All views expressed herein are the views of the author and do not reflect the views of Liberty Fighters Network unless specifically stated otherwise.


— Attachments: —

---

240507-MediaRelease_InterventionIECvZuma.pdf	370 KB
240506-PUBLIC-RD De Beer-CCT97 of 2024-Complete Application for Leave to Intervene as Interested Party or Amicus Curiae with Annexures A to E.pdf	5.2 MB
240507-PUBLIC-Court Order.pdf	1.1 MB



Subject: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)  
From: Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>  
Date: 2024/05/07, 20:54  
To: reyno@libertyfighters.co.za



## Message blocked

Your message to [persfin@inl.co.za](mailto:persfin@inl.co.za) has been blocked. See technical details below for more information.

The response was:

Message bounced due to organizational settings.

---

Reporting-MTA: dns; googlemail.com  
Received-From-MTA: dns; [reyno@libertyfighters.co.za](mailto:reyno@libertyfighters.co.za)  
Arrival-Date: Tue, 07 May 2024 11:54:01 -0700 (PDT)  
X-Original-Message-ID: <[85e6700f-7ea5-4cc9-8345-1575d9290bdc@libertyfighters.co.za](mailto:85e6700f-7ea5-4cc9-8345-1575d9290bdc@libertyfighters.co.za)>

Final-Recipient: rfc822; [persfin@inl.co.za](mailto:persfin@inl.co.za)  
Action: failed  
Status: 4.4.2  
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; Message bounced due to organizational settings.  
Last-Attempt-Date: Tue, 07 May 2024 11:54:08 -0700 (PDT)

---

— ForwardedMessage.eml —

Subject: MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...  
From: Reyno De Beer <[reyno@libertyfighters.co.za](mailto:reyno@libertyfighters.co.za)>  
Date: 2024/05/07, 20:53  
To: undisclosed-recipients;

---

— Attachments: —

ForwardedMessage.eml

4.8 KB

**Subject:** Read: MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...

**From:** Kholo Mathiba <kholo@jacarandafm.com>

**Date:** 2024/05/08, 08:50

**To:** Reyno De Beer <reyno@libertyfighters.co.za>

Your message

To: Kholo Mathiba

Subject: MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...

Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 8:53:37 PM (UTC+02:00) Harare, Pretoria

was read on Wednesday, May 8, 2024 8:49:12 AM (UTC+02:00) Harare, Pretoria.



**Kholo Mathiba**

Receptionist

011 063 5700

[www.jacarandafm.com](http://www.jacarandafm.com)

Jacaranda Broadcast Centre, 89 14th Road, Erand Gardens, Midrand, JHB  
PO Box 11961, Centurion, 0046



The information in this e-mail is confidential and is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this e-mail by anyone else is unauthorised. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or any actions taken or omitted in reliance on this, is prohibited and may be unlawful. Whilst all reasonable steps are taken to ensure the accuracy and integrity of information and data transmitted electronically and to preserve the confidentiality thereof, no liability or responsibility whatsoever is accepted if information or data is for whatever reason corrupted or does not reach the intended destination.

---

Final -recipient: RFC822; [kholo@jacarandafm.com](mailto:kholo@jacarandafm.com)

Disposition: automatic-action/MDN-sent-automatically; displayed

X-MSEch-Correlation-Key: he31EkAi1UKGjj2WI X9F9g==

X-Display-Name: Kholo Mathiba

Subject: Undeliverable: MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...
From: <postmaster@sabc.co.za>
Date: 2024/05/07, 21:14
To: <reyno@libertyfighters.co.za>

Delivery has failed to these recipients or groups:

LigwalagwalaFM@sabconms.mail.onmicrosoft.com

Your message wasn't delivered because the recipient's e-mail provider rejected it.

The following organisation rejected your message: AM3PEPF0000A793.mail.protection.outlook.com.

Diagnostic information for administrators:

Generating server: sabc.co.za

LigwalagwalaFM@sabconms.mail.onmicrosoft.com

AM3PEPF0000A793.mail.protection.outlook.com #550 5.7.230 Connecting Exchange server version is out-of-date; connection to Exchange Online blocked for 60 mins/hr. For more information see https://aka.ms/ExchangeBuildCompliance. [AM3PEPF0000A793.eurprd04.prod.outlook.com 2024-05-07T19:14:10.581Z 08DC6922494E690E] ##rfc822;ligwalagwalafm@sabc.co.za

Original message headers:

Received: from JHB-CAS01.sabc.co.za (155.234.208.71) by jhb-et01.sabc.co.za (155.234.208.50) with Microsoft SMTP Server (TLS) id 14.3.498.0; Tue, 7 May 2024 21:14:05 +0200
Received: from JHB-CAS02.sabc.co.za (155.234.208.73) by jhb-cas01.sabc.co.za (155.234.208.70) with Microsoft SMTP Server (TLS) id 14.3.498.0; Tue, 7 May 2024 21:14:05 +0200
Received: from jhb-et01.sabc.co.za (155.234.208.50) by jhb-cas02.sabc.co.za (155.234.208.72) with Microsoft SMTP Server id 14.3.498.0; Tue, 7 May 2024 21:14:03 +0200
Received: from jhb-smgvirt.sabc.co.za (155.234.240.27) by jhb-et01.sabc.co.za (155.234.208.50) with Microsoft SMTP Server id 14.3.498.0; Tue, 7 May 2024 21:14:02 +0200
X-AuditID: 9beaf01b-1c2c17000000f0ac-7c-663a7d7448b5
Received: from za-smtp-delivery-1.mimecast.com (41.74.197.102) by jhb-smgvirt.sabc.co.za (Wellcome) with SMTP id 01.33.61612.47D7A366; Tue, 7 May 2024 21:13:59 +0200 (CAT)
ARC-Message-Signature: i=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed; d=dkim.mimecast.com; s=201903; t=1715109236; h=from:from:reply-to:subject:subject:date:date:message-id:message-id:to:to:cc:mime-version:mime-version:content-type:content-type:dkim-signature; bh=aZYAezi g0SE6ni eSv3b7HAFzi EXGgCSes0TF7wKfy0=: b=FGaS7ymx+LRPs2RJ7JGKY++9XSJqCQ//e3E93c0bl 0j 4l kU5a/2t0zV+ArC/rXj rKb7l l s pWj j YMBngHwXoFby6nU3EI VcRoSUYk0cl nTP6NYTmI l v04X013JP7AVun/700J1kSjzk/ FST19vI o8Ub222TLT2mopUZ7Nvl F9G7mrLgNwnWgqcrSDOKFI w19Y9zTKNI C1JLJurkF7I Ozi LHD/ oNpF/OGUY5UkFKA3pFLhRYA6i MDL8W7Ensuj RHuZb0UvZFzcom4T7qp+bYmY22Z t/NFCTts9Pns0akOf+OY0d0g5wWzWkDDLXExG6qOAEFWxYuGvi 6CTFreuDMkA==
ARC-Seal: i=1; s=201903; d=dkim.mimecast.com; t=1715109236; a=rsa-sha256; cv=none; b=00bmm/nzCj WxcBo0FT3aozeR3CLp++bgG5Ksz4t8taA2Y8k7r563l G2l YMdXY+SSYo8LMY RF2j 4qTVN06oEaxFDNwxbwDXFhvSDDkRER15k+Hx60hVZpUrw5SrXCv8kzgozFL3S4ckTb X0Zq7el te8063u6PKt8Df6tcRydL3cc7Uvb0B0j 1A0zz6F/SY1Ly4D2exX80Y9za0o3S sj CEvG7ph/03yNszl mEV5bxBgvZsJahYm+cgMUrE/ai wn2J7TDcDh7 tM0pX0E/04GT/6 WgMsJcSG20i OuBdhW5AEM43t382wChj mVI /Tz0fAY4DcvzdQsdMbxqg3wWmsqg==
ARC-Authentication-Results: i=1; relay.mimecast.com; dkim=pass header.d=libertyfighters.co.za header.s=default header.b=dfKB2Z; dmarc=none; spf=pass (relay.mimecast.com: domain of reyno@libertyfighters.co.za designates 154.0.170.167 as permitted sender) smtp.mail=from=reyno@libertyfighters.co.za
Authentication-Results: relay.mimecast.com; dkim=pass header.d=libertyfighters.co.za header.s=default header.b=dfKB2Z; dmarc=none; spf=pass (relay.mimecast.com: domain of reyno@libertyfighters.co.za designates 154.0.170.167 as permitted sender) smtp.mail=from=reyno@libertyfighters.co.za
Received: from buhdeuce.aserv.co.za (buhdeuce.aserv.co.za [154.0.170.167]) by relay.mimecast.com with ESMTP with STARTTLS (version=TLSv1.2, cipher=TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384) id za-mta-142-x8Aet11KNB-vsQhQaadCg-1; Tue, 07 May 2024 21:13:18 +0200
X-MS-Exchange-Organization: x8Aet11KNB-vsQhQaadCg-1
DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; q=dns/txt; c=relaxed/relaxed; d=libertyfighters.co.za; s=default; h=To:Subject:From:MIME-Version:Date:Message-ID:Content-Type:Sender:Reply-To:Content-Transfer-Encoding:Content-ID:Content-Description:Resent-Date:Resent-From:Resent-Sender:Resent-To:Resent-Cc:Resent-Message-ID:In-Reply-To:References:List-Id:List-Help:List-Unsubscribe:List-Subscribe:List-Post:List-Owner:List-Archive: bh-qj 4W0MSRdt7raNUk9Ro9Y6i FMSYAhrtXUX2d3ykZw=: b=l dFKB2dZMXX/x9cyA0cRVVnRS JBLJc0cg/my0gj ghErV/6u+jj 8wOL73NsYJTHPzHH6mnP+v8wl d0j RBsuf2ALD25LsKZ2n+5EvkRe nokZROhpBgWlNyharbdJZkJ/y7/nkuk9Xkn5eMbk8rSuyK+aWRzeH9Cdxnahgsl kXE166A4X0bRx UkYJDKT8gnp9X9ZkDLfw5LF+5uXwSANu5+GkeuvhsEl fGxl eMFSr1 5Hzl mOr+m3wqqMMXxbhRx0 cEpuS1Cbvr2SmkpkRubPenPze/Uwz8Vj qYNUt148byz+/U03C00Scbqat9848UX0Zs3taej L08bq 6UYp+Yw==
Received: from [197.184.183.104] (port=4636 helo=[192.168.8.122]) by buhdeuce.aserv.co.za with esmtpsa (TLS1.2) tls TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256 (Exim 4.96.2) (envelope-from <reyno@libertyfighters.co.za>) id 1s4PwD-000UVt-1g; Tue, 07 May 2024 20:53:45 +0200
Message-ID: <85e6700F-7ea5-4cc9-8345-1575d9290bdc@libertyfighters.co.za>
Date: Tue, 7 May 2024 20:53:37 +0200
MIME-Version: 1.0
User-Agent: Mozilla Thunderbird
From: Reyno De Beer <reyno@libertyfighters.co.za>
Subject: MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...
Organization: Libertyfighters Network
To: undisclosed-recipients;

Disposition-Notification-To: Reyno De Beer <reyno@libertyfighters.co.za>
X-AntiAbuse: This header was added to track abuse, please include it with any abuse report
X-AntiAbuse: Primary Hostname - buhdeuce.aserv.co.za
X-AntiAbuse: Original Domain - sabc.co.za
X-AntiAbuse: Originator/Caller UID/GID - [47 12] / [0 0]
X-AntiAbuse: Sender Address Domain - libertyfighters.co.za
X-Get-Message-Sender-Via: buhdeuce.aserv.co.za: authenticated\_id: reyno@libertyfighters.co.za
X-Authenticated-Sender: buhdeuce.aserv.co.za: reyno@libertyfighters.co.za
X-Source: /usr/bin/bash
X-Source-Args: /bin/bash /usr/local/afribin/spam -l -c 20 -q
X-Source-Dir: /
X-Mimecast-Spam-Score: 3
Content-Type: multipart/mixed;
boundary="-----X0gPM6rEprDwEAtkMz3P8oa"
Content-Language: en-GB
X-Brightmail-Tracker: H4sIAAAAAAAAWTf0wTdxjG+d615ej0PAqMd2UErYihSJBheFEM5cFN0gS3ZLVMChwJ2I
JW1tgM2FwYDAYB0BratGC2yGMX/AMgUkDkyB9ukAhVHEejAE0HnSDAYU2B3vFLD7L/P932e
932FN7kjckHTUORQ2Xpkq5apxw+J+xAdOZ4zsnYj03nSrxiuoYLOP10FGN5PfcJOW/kU6p
IAZKXGxGwI FUzDvXrPYRkye7YmjJmW/dKunkiClvAsgdMhrJxGVYSNoR9M2EISi+ggcaLkx2
diD20Y7Dw9eDvtowqB/7KxbuYBBo/WxSxGSRgUjv3JYwYvgaLBAZwROGQfDzrsj3msqxqD
ur9HxS4BG0+11p9dzCFDoL1CDPrPtDzZa0roj8ZDGPDRk+GeWoklBc/9WQ0-ZIFCG7FeU13
E80GMhT6TKUyHj8yEEYnptwz42Gh61P3qVJYbL0tm2+tYAxrUCGwY05dLYcAOWFLVYwY6Gr
ZnZNSWC7bcR2ToCFWm8glg0gsVGM+B9UGHudvs3wK+2a24GuDH+pWsvTh6C+t49TBmnW/Pn
v+eeOhtN6w42rblM61wsvwe0kpsclndB6dVxPJrckFmBmc5F0zXbJ7/rx+FsYfd714p5H89
zmN5E1R97D9FrbEFRNLPLyhwPBSNOy93kXw/thYwYzKBrS/U4us9ZuTTgF76JUEarsuJ
G5RavUOnS02TpGkkublFEF3Nnpr+N7AF0S45JRaEeciCXiYwsb9gZhtshvDFVE16jkkMlyRr
T6gonQWfHP/avzBiKOctUZNiF0E3cXRGUJbuiwnl9JqVmyBBEclli.xJKcJSblMTx2nqCkX
u6K+0hBiEdg+ohF4aCk5I2zhV0nXZIzswiAgv0nhRbmOR6DLkmXqJHJW70VRRHnrZt0VHqN
tuHcVw5RglD3MM0lGavihhp12sp2Y+CRl4C50HhlfSmk20q9c/r0yiAGJfgYYJ5a1U61F3
TdnRMDqKhsvcqcdPL1iRRHIadl2K6GHR5h9F7WyoPLB+GTJzrneq3hp33z79JL3x2Bhy+vXc
eiC5WCblH3H2m+cnTc42FV9zZ7LqkKHG0UDn/aVP/11Bb1oPTm3b9vtpo/rc3uhCs+TY8tYm
6WeLXx5vcVrsoYX+waKv08tbzJEqpE7z6oyDF3RpXJid98EWdtOHY3V+8HNFLA1Mpcw34
Se63ujvJ0wV/XDHI+s+xVhMnJs7Yz60CJmGPOWtV+P2Hz266F1i0r3m+x6iUePu3T5DycEa
82/Z/5gSf1rGi4MT43qr8y7WVEUch9psT9r891zlrushzj8dlr/QUXIsr/3uzM7D+VufzPYY
M1udzbZlrfzHJ1CFvkqrtXJ/gPa5iRODwUAAA==

Return-Path: reyno@libertyfighters.co.za
X-MS-Exchange-CrossPremises-OriginalArrivalTime: 07 May 2024 19:14:03.5531
(UTC)
X-MS-Exchange-CrossPremises-OriginalClientIPAddress: 155.234.208.50
X-MS-Exchange-CrossPremises-OriginalServerIPAddress: 155.234.208.72
X-MS-Exchange-CrossPremises-AuthSource: jhb-cas02.sabc.co.za
X-MS-Exchange-CrossPremises-AuthAs: Anonymous
X-MS-Exchange-CrossPremises-MessageDirectionality: Incoming
X-MS-Exchange-CrossPremises-Cross-Premises-Headers-Processed: jhb-cas02.sabc.co.za
X-MS-Exchange-CrossPremises-OriginalSize: 9717473
X-MS-Exchange-CrossPremises-MessageScope: 00000000-0000-0000-0000-000000000000
X-MS-Exchange-CrossPremises-HygienePolicy: Standard
X-MS-Exchange-CrossPremises-AVStamp-Mailbox: SYMANTEC;559023360;0;info
X-MS-Exchange-CrossPremises-RecipientLimit-Verified: True
X-MS-Exchange-CrossPremises-Rules-Execution-History: Disclamer
X-MS-Exchange-CrossPremises-Processed-By-Journaling: Journal Agent
X-OrganizationHeadersPreserved: jhb-cas02.sabc.co.za

Reporting-MTA: dns: sabc.co.za
Received-From-MTA: dns: JHB-CAS01.sabc.co.za
Arrival-Date: Tue, 7 May 2024 19:14:05 +0000
Original-Recipient: rfc822:Li gwal agwal afm@sabc.co.za
Final-Recipient: rfc822:Li gwal agwal afm@sabconms.mail.onmicrosoft.com
Action: failed
Status: 5.7.230
Diagnostic-Code: smtp;550 5.7.230 Connecting Exchange server version is out-of-date; connection to Exchange Online blocked for 60 mins/hr.
For more information see https://aka.ms/ExchangeBuildCompliance. [AM3PEPF0000A793.eurprd04.prod.outlook.com 2024-05-07T19:14:10.581Z
08DC6922494E690E]
Remote-MTA: dns: AM3PEPF0000A793.mail.protection.outlook.com

ForwardedMessage.eml

Subject: MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...
From: Reyno De Beer <reyno@libertyfighters.co.za>
Date: 2024/05/07, 20:53
To: undisclosed-recipients:

MEDIA RELEASE – FOR IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION
REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE AND CORRUPTION ALLEGATIONS BY RAMAPHOSA CABINET, SILENCED BY CONSTITUTIONAL COURT

[Important: The Public versions of the mentioned Intervention Application and court order are circulated with this media release and attached]

--

PS: Kindly note the Important/Disclaimer Notice underneath our signature for your information.

Kind Regards,

**Reyno De Beer**

President: Liberty Fighters Network

Landline: +27 (0) 12 023 1976

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Telegram News Channel: [@libertyfightersnews](#)Facebook: [libertyfightersnetwork](#)Twitter: [@LFN\\_SouthAfrica](#)YouTube: [Reyno De Beer, Liberty Fighter #1](#)Website: [www.libertyfighters.co.za](#)EMail: [reyno@libertyfighters.co.za](mailto:reyno@libertyfighters.co.za)

If you like our work, kindly consider making a **voluntary donation** via [PayPal](#) or [Mobipaid](#)

**IMPORTANT & DISCLAIMER:** Liberty Fighters Network is **not a law clinic** and **none of its officials are registered legal practitioners** in terms of the South African *Legal Practice Act, 2014 (Act No. 28 of 2014)*. By local national legislation we may not expect remuneration for any service only a registered legal practitioner may expect remuneration for. LFN only relies on voluntary donations for funding. However, this restriction to request remuneration does not apply to our services in relation to international forums for which we are entitled to enter into a services agreement. Privileged/Confidential information may be contained in this message. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to such person) you may not copy or deliver this message to anyone. In such case, you should destroy this message and kindly notify the sender by reply E-Mail. Please advise immediately if you or your employer do not consent to e-mail messages of this kind. Opinions, conclusions and other information in this message that do not relate to the official business of Liberty Fighters Network shall be understood as neither given nor endorsed by it. All views expressed herein are the views of the author and do not reflect the views of Liberty Fighters Network unless specifically stated otherwise.

Attachments:

---

ForwardedMessage.eml	9.3 MB
240507-MediaRelease_InterventionIECvZuma.pdf	370 KB
240506-PUBLIC-RD De Beer-CCT97 of 2024-Complete Application for Leave to Intervene as Interested Party or Amicus Curiae with Annexures A to E.pdf	5.2 MB
240507-PUBLIC-Court Order.pdf	1.1 MB

"RES6" 31

**Subject:** Read: MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...  
**From:** Willie Spies <willie@pretoriafm.co.za>  
**Date:** 2024/05/07, 20:58  
**To:** Reyno De Beer <reyno@libertyfighters.co.za>

Your message

To: Willie Spies  
Subject: MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...  
Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 8:53:37 PM (UTC+02:00) Harare, Pretoria

was read on Tuesday, May 7, 2024 8:57:29 PM (UTC+02:00) Harare, Pretoria.

---

Final -recipient: RFC822; [willie@pretoriafm.co.za](mailto:willie@pretoriafm.co.za)  
Disposition: automatic-action/MDN-sent-automatically; displayed  
X-MSEch-Correlation-Key: W5TATNg1u0i7kel fcA1hUg==  
X-Display-Name: Willie Spies

**Subject:** RE: MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...  
**From:** Info <Info@etv.co.za>  
**Date:** 2024/05/08, 10:36  
**To:** 'Reyno De Beer' <reyno@libertyfighters.co.za>  
**CC:** Info <Info@etv.co.za>

Dear Reyno,

We thank you for your email.

We have sent your email to our newsroom.

You may contact one of our newsrooms or news bureaus on the following contact details:

e.tv News Room

Tel: 021 481 4700

Email: [enewsdirect@etv.co.za](mailto:enewsdirect@etv.co.za)

eNCA News Room

Tel: 011 537 9500

Email: [newsroom@eNCA.com](mailto:newsroom@eNCA.com)

Durban News Bureau

Tel: (031) 828 9400

Email: [Durban@etv.co.za](mailto:Durban@etv.co.za)

Follow our online news on:

YouTube: [www.youtube.com/encanews](http://www.youtube.com/encanews)

Website: [www.enca.com](http://www.enca.com)

Twitter handle: @encanews

Facebook Page: [www.facebook.com/encanews](http://www.facebook.com/encanews)

Twitter: [www.twitter.com/encanews](http://www.twitter.com/encanews)

Kind Regards,

e Customer Care (AT)

[info@etv.co.za](mailto:info@etv.co.za)

021 481 4400

---

From: Reyno De Beer <reyno@libertyfighters.co.za>

Sent: Tuesday, 07 May 2024 20:54

Subject: MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...

---

**Caution!**

This Message originated outside your organization and may have malicious intent.

Please check the sender is genuine. If in doubt, contact this person via telephone.

---

---

## **MEDIA RELEASE – FOR IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION**

# **REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE AND CORRUPTION ALLEGATIONS BY RAMAPHOSA CABINET, SILENCED BY CONSTITUTIONAL COURT**

[Important: The Public versions of the mentioned Intervention Application and court order are circulated with this media release and attached]

--

**PS: Kindly note the Important/Disclaimer Notice underneath our signature for your information.**

Kind Regards,

***Reyno De Beer***

President: Liberty Fighters Network

**Landline:** +27 (0) 12 023 1976

**Mobile:** [REDACTED]

**Telegram News Channel:** [@libertyfightersnews](#)

**Facebook:** [libertyfightersnetwork](#)

**Twitter:** [@LFN\\_SouthAfrica](#)

**YouTube:** [Reyno De Beer, Liberty Fighter #1](#)

**Website:** [www.libertyfighters.co.za](#)

**Email:** [reyno@libertyfighters.co.za](mailto:reyno@libertyfighters.co.za)

**If you like our work, kindly consider making a **voluntary donation** via PayPal or Mobipaid**

**IMPORTANT & DISCLAIMER:** Liberty Fighters Network is **not a law clinic** and **none of its officials are registered legal practitioners** in terms of the South African *Legal Practice Act, 2014 (Act No. 28 of 2014)*. By local national legislation we may not expect remuneration for any service only a registered legal practitioner may expect remuneration for. LFN only relies on voluntary donations for funding. However, this restriction to request remuneration does not apply to our services in relation to international forums for which we are entitled to enter into a services agreement. Privileged/Confidential information may be contained in this message. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to such person) you may not copy or deliver this message to anyone. In such case, you should destroy this message and kindly notify the sender by reply E-Mail. Please advise immediately if you or your employer do not consent to e-mail messages of this kind. Opinions, conclusions and other information in this message that do not relate to the official business of Liberty Fighters Network shall be understood as neither given nor endorsed by it. All views expressed herein are the views of the author and do not reflect the views of Liberty Fighters Network unless specifically stated otherwise.

This e-mail is subject to our Legal Notice, to view our disclaimer click [here](#)

**"RES8"**

35

**Subject:** Read: MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...

**From:** Eloise Bubb <Eloise@grootfm.co.za>

**Date:** 2024/05/08, 08:52

**To:** Reyno De Beer <reyno@libertyfighters.co.za>

Your message

To: Eloise Bubb

Subject: MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...

Sent: 07 May 2024 20:53:37 (UTC+02:00) Harare, Pretoria

was read on 08 May 2024 08:52:16 (UTC+02:00) Harare, Pretoria.

---

Final -recipient: RFC822; [Eloise@grootfm.co.za](mailto:Eloise@grootfm.co.za)

Disposition: automatic-action/MDN-sent-automatically; displayed

X-MSEch-Correlation-Key: 5hCzj D4FH0mEa0cl XNSd1g==

Original -Message-ID:

[<85e6700f-7ea5-4cc9-8345-1575d9290bdc@libertyfighters.co.za>](mailto:<85e6700f-7ea5-4cc9-8345-1575d9290bdc@libertyfighters.co.za>)

X-Display-Name: Eloise Bubb

**Subject:** Read: MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...  
**From:** contactcentre <contactcentre@sabc.co.za>  
**Date:** 2024/05/08, 07:22  
**To:** Reyno De Beer <reyno@libertyfighters.co.za>

[<http://webmail.sabc.co.za/Disclaimers/Images/30Years.jpg>] <<https://sabc-plus.com>>

Disclaimer: Everything in this email and its attachments relating to the official business of the SABC is proprietary to the SABC. If the email is used other than for official business of the SABC or the views and opinions expressed in the email are not authorised by the SABC, the views and opinions expressed are those of the individual sending the email. The content of this email is confidential, legally privileged and protected by law. The person addressed in the email is the sole authorised recipient. Please notify the sender immediately if this email and its attachments have unintentionally reached you; do not read, copy, disseminate or use the content in any way and delete the email and any copies of it. Whilst all reasonable precautions are taken to ensure the accuracy and integrity of the information, and that this email and its attachments are free from any virus, the SABC accepts no liability however arising or responsibility whatsoever in this regard, and in keeping with good computing practice, the scanning of files and attachments is advised.

— ForwardedMessage.eml —

**Subject:** Read: MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...  
**From:** contactcentre <contactcentre@sabc.co.za>  
**Date:** 2024/05/08, 07:22  
**To:** Reyno De Beer <reyno@libertyfighters.co.za>

Your message

To: contactcentre  
Subject: MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...  
Sent: 07 May 2024 20:53:37 (UTC+02:00) Harare, Pretoria

was read on 08 May 2024 07:22:24 (UTC+02:00) Harare, Pretoria.

Final -recipient: RFC822; [contactcentre@sabc.co.za](mailto:contactcentre@sabc.co.za)  
Disposition: automatic-action/MDN-sent-automatically; displayed  
X-MSEch-Correlation-Key: KL2ZctRdlU+IsRI4zIC4yA==  
Original -Message-ID:  
[<85e6700f-7ea5-4cc9-8345-1575d9290bdc@libertyfighters.co.za>](mailto:<85e6700f-7ea5-4cc9-8345-1575d9290bdc@libertyfighters.co.za>)  
X-Display-Name: contactcentre

— Attachments: —

ForwardedMessage.eml

14.1 KB

"RES10" 37

**Subject:** Not read: MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...  
**From:** Adriaan Basson <adriaan@24.com>  
**Date:** 2024/05/13, 09:52  
**To:** Reyno De Beer <reyno@libertyfighters.co.za>

This email is subject to the following disclaimer. <https://www.media24.com/disclaimer>

— ForwardedMessage.eml —

**Subject:** Not read: MEDIA RELEASE - IMMEDIATE CIRCULATION: REVELATIONS UNVEILED IN IEC v MK, ZUMA CASE EXPOSE CONCEALMENT OF AU CHALLENGE...  
**From:** Adriaan Basson <adriaan@24.com>  
**Date:** 2024/05/13, 09:52  
**To:** Reyno De Beer <reyno@libertyfighters.co.za>

— Attachments: —

ForwardedMessage.eml	23.6 KB
winmail.dat	6.3 KB



# Liberty Fighters Network

Est. 2016 - A voluntary association without gain (*Universitas*)

**Office of the President: Reyno De Beer**

Landline: +27(0)12 023 1976 / Cellular: [REDACTED]

Electronic Mail: [reyno@libertyfighters.co.za](mailto:reyno@libertyfighters.co.za)

Website: [www.libertyfighters.co.za](http://www.libertyfighters.co.za) / Telegram: @libertyfightersnews / Twitter: @LFN\_SouthAfrica /

Facebook: Libertyfightersnetwork / YouTube: @LibertyFighters

Date: 26 April 2024

## URGENT / STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL IN NATIONAL SECURITY

ATTENTION: **CHIEF JUSTICE OF SOUTH AFRICA**

Chief Justice Raymond Zondo

c/o Mr. Sibusiso Mapossa (Registrar of the Constitutional Court)

Email: [Mapossa@concourt.org.za](mailto:Mapossa@concourt.org.za)

[BY EMAIL]



Dear Chief Justice

AFRICAN COMMISSION ON HUMAN AND PEOPLES' RIGHTS: FORMER PRESIDENT, MR. JACOB GEDLEYIHLEKISA ZUMA v REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA (CASE NUMBER 179/22); CONSTITUTIONAL COURT: INDEPENDENT ELECTORAL COMMISSION v FORMER PRESIDENT, MR. JACOB GEDLEYIHLEKISA ZUMA & ANOTHER